

# Leptogenesis, Yukawa textures and weak-basis invariants

Gustavo C. Branco<sup>a,b</sup>, M.N. Rebelo<sup>a,c,\*</sup>, J.I. Silva-Marcos<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Departamento de Física and Centro de Física Teórica de Partículas (CFTP), Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

<sup>b</sup> *Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, James-Franck-Strasse, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

<sup>c</sup> *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), D-80805 München, Germany*

Received 10 November 2005; accepted 23 November 2005

Available online 6 December 2005

Editor: G.F. Giudice

## Abstract

We show that a large class of sets of leptonic texture zeros considered in the literature imply the vanishing of certain CP-odd weak-basis invariants. These invariant conditions enable one to recognize a flavour model corresponding to a set of texture zeros, when written in an arbitrary weak-basis where the zeros are not manifest. We also analyse the rôle of texture zeros in allowing for a connection between leptogenesis and low-energy leptonic masses, mixing and CP violation. For some of the textures the variables relevant for leptogenesis can be fully determined in terms of low energy parameters and heavy neutrino masses.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The evidence for nonvanishing neutrino masses provides a clear signal of physics beyond the Standard Model (SM), since in the SM neutrinos are strictly massless. The simplest extension of the SM which allows for nonvanishing but naturally small neutrino masses consists of the addition of right-handed neutrinos, leading to the seesaw mechanism [1].

In general, the seesaw mechanism framework contains a large number of free parameters, in fact many more than measurable quantities at low energies. In the literature, there have been various attempts at reducing the number of seesaw parameters either by introducing texture zeros and/or by reducing the number of right-handed neutrinos to two. One could be tempted to follow a bottom-up approach, using the observed pattern of lepton masses and mixing to infer about the appropriate set of texture zeros. Unfortunately this approach is not feasible, since texture zeros are not weak-basis (WB) invariant. This means that a given set of texture zeros which arise in a certain WB may not be present or may appear in different entries in another WB. Indeed, each texture zero ansatz corresponds to an infinite set of leptonic mass matrices, related to each other by WB transformations. Needless to say, two sets of leptonic mass matrices related by a WB transformation contain the same physics. This raises a number of questions, such as:

- (i) How can one recognize a flavour model corresponding to a set of texture zeros, when written in a different WB, where the zeros are not explicitly present?
- (ii) Do the sets of texture zeros considered in the literature imply the vanishing of certain WB invariants?
- (iii) Can the physical content of a particular texture zero ansatz be expressed in terms of relations involving WB invariants?

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [gustavo.branco@cern.ch](mailto:gustavo.branco@cern.ch), [gbranco@cfpt.ist.utl.pt](mailto:gbranco@cfpt.ist.utl.pt) (G.C. Branco), [margarida.rebelo@cern.ch](mailto:margarida.rebelo@cern.ch), [rebelo@ist.utl.pt](mailto:rebelo@ist.utl.pt), [mrebelo@mail.cern.ch](mailto:mrebelo@mail.cern.ch) (M.N. Rebelo), [joaquim.silva-marcos@cern.ch](mailto:joaquim.silva-marcos@cern.ch) (J.I. Silva-Marcos).

In this Letter, we address some of the above questions and in particular we show that some of the sets of texture zeros considered in the literature imply the vanishing of certain CP-odd invariants. Conversely, we show that starting from arbitrary leptonic mass matrices and imposing the vanishing of certain CP-odd invariants, together with the assumption of no conspiracy among the parameters of the Dirac and Majorana neutrino mass terms, one is automatically led to given sets of texture zeros. The relevance of CP-odd invariants in the analysis of texture zero ansätze was to be expected, since texture zeros lead in general to a decrease in the number of independent CP-violating phases.

This Letter is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the framework and set our notation. In Section 3, we reexamine the connection [2] between leptonic low energy physics and leptogenesis [3], in the case of one texture zero and two right-handed neutrinos. In Section 4 we study the relation between CP-odd WB invariants and texture zeros. Finally Section 5 contains our conclusions.

## 2. Framework

Let us consider the above mentioned extension of the SM which consists of the addition of one right-handed neutrino per fermion generation. After spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking, the following leptonic mass terms are generated:

$$\mathcal{L}_m = - \left[ \bar{\nu}_L^0 m_D \nu_R^0 + \frac{1}{2} \nu_R^{0T} C M_R \nu_R^0 + \bar{l}_L^0 m_l l_R^0 \right] + \text{h.c.} = - \left[ \frac{1}{2} n_L^T C \mathcal{M} n_L + \bar{l}_L^0 m_l l_R^0 \right] + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where  $M_R$ ,  $m_D$ , and  $m_l$  denote the right-handed neutrino Majorana mass matrix, the neutrino Dirac mass matrix and the charged lepton mass matrix, respectively, with  $n_L = (\nu_L^0, (\nu_R^0)^c)$  a column vector. The matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

It is always possible to choose a weak basis (WB) where the matrices  $M_R$  and  $m_l$  are both real and diagonal. The diagonalization of the  $2n \times 2n$  matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  is performed via the unitary transformation

$$V^T \mathcal{M}^* V = \mathcal{D}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{D} = \text{diag}(m_{\nu_1}, m_{\nu_2}, m_{\nu_3}, M_{\nu_1}, M_{\nu_2}, M_{\nu_3})$ , with  $m_{\nu_i}$  and  $M_{\nu_i}$  denoting the physical masses of the light and heavy Majorana neutrinos, respectively. By writing  $V$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  in the following block form:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} K & R \\ S & T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

the leptonic charged current interactions can be written in terms of mass eigenstates as

$$\mathcal{L}_W = - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{l}_{iL} \gamma_\mu K_{ij} \nu_{jL} + \bar{l}_{iL} \gamma_\mu R_{ij} N_{jL}) W^\mu + \text{h.c.}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\nu_j$  and  $N_j$  denote the light and heavy neutrinos. Since the right-handed neutrino Majorana mass term is  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  invariant, the scale  $M$  of  $M_R$  can be much larger than the scale  $v$  of electroweak symmetry breaking. Assuming  $M^2 \gg v^2$  the light neutrino masses are obtained to an excellent approximation from:

$$U_\nu^\dagger m_{\text{eff}} U_\nu^* = d, \quad (7)$$

where  $m_{\text{eff}} = -m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T$ . The natural suppression of the eigenvalues of  $m_{\text{eff}}$  is the crucial point of the seesaw mechanism. The unitary matrix  $U_\nu$  obtained from Eq. (7) is the so-called Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakagawa and Sakata matrix [4] and coincides with  $K$  in Eqs. (4) and (6) up to corrections of order  $v^2/M^2$ , which we shall ignore under the above assumption.

In the WB where  $m_l$ ,  $M_R$  are diagonal, all mixing and CP violation are contained in  $m_D$  which is a complex  $3 \times 3$  matrix. Three of its nine arbitrary phases can be eliminated by the simultaneous rephasing of  $\nu_l$ ,  $l_L$ , so one is left with six CP-violating phases. Therefore, the three eigenvalues of  $M_R$ , together with the 15 parameters of  $m_D$  give a total of eighteen parameters. This is to be compared with the nine parameters contained in the low energy data, namely the three mixing angles and three CP-violating phases contained in  $U_\nu$ , together with the three light neutrino masses.

The fact that there are many more parameters in  $m_D$ ,  $M_R$  than measurable quantities at low energies makes it impossible, in general, to derive the seesaw parameters from low energy data. A particularly fascinating question is whether it is possible to relate the size and sign [5] of the observed baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU) to low energy CP violation, in a framework of baryogenesis through leptogenesis. It has been pointed out that this is only possible if further assumptions are introduced. This can

be readily seen by noting that, from Eq. (7) and the definition of  $m_{\text{eff}}$ , the matrix  $m_D$  can be parametrized as [6]

$$m_D = iU_\nu \sqrt{d} G \sqrt{D_R} \quad (8)$$

with  $G$  an orthogonal complex matrix,  $\sqrt{D_R}$  a diagonal real matrix verifying the relation  $\sqrt{D_R} \sqrt{D_R} = D_R$  and  $\sqrt{d}$  a real matrix with a maximum number of zeros such that  $\sqrt{d} \sqrt{d}^T = d$ . Note that  $\sqrt{d}$  is not always a square matrix, as can be seen in Section 3. From Eq. (8), it follows that

$$m_D^\dagger m_D = -\sqrt{D_R} G^\dagger \sqrt{d}^T \sqrt{d} G \sqrt{D_R}. \quad (9)$$

Since the CP-violating phases relevant for leptogenesis are those contained in  $m_D^\dagger m_D$  [7], it is clear that leptogenesis can occur even if there is no CP violation at low energies, i.e., no Majorana- or Dirac-type CP phases at low energies [8].

In the literature there have been various attempts at reducing the number of seesaw parameters by considering so-called minimal scenarios. Models with only two right-handed neutrinos immediately lead to one massless light neutrino, whereas models with only one right-handed neutrino would require two of the light neutrinos to be massless and are, therefore, ruled out in the context of type I seesaw, where no Higgs triplets are added.

### 3. Example with one texture zero and two right-handed neutrinos

In this section, we reexamine the connection between leptonic low energy physics and leptogenesis, in the case of one texture zero and two right-handed neutrinos [9]. From the definition of  $m_{\text{eff}}$ , it can be readily realized that in the case of only two right-handed neutrinos, one of the light neutrinos is massless and one has

$$U_\nu^\dagger m_{\text{eff}} U_\nu^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & m_2 & \\ & & m_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Let us assume now that  $m_D$  has one texture zero [10] and write it in the form

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

with arbitrary nonzero entries, in the WB where  $m_l$  and  $M_R$  are diagonal and real. Let us write  $m_D$  as in Eq. (8), taking into account that in this case, the complex orthogonal matrix  $G$  is two-by-two and can be parametrized by

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \cos Z & \pm \sin Z \\ -\sin Z & \pm \cos Z \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

with  $Z$  complex. We use the following parametrization [11] for  $U_\nu$ :

$$U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13}e^{i\delta} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13}e^{i\delta} \end{pmatrix} \cdot P, \quad (13)$$

where  $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$ ,  $s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$  and  $P = \text{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha/2}, 1)$ ;  $\delta$  is a Dirac-type phase and  $\alpha$  is a physical phase associated to the Majorana character of neutrinos. In the general case, with three nonzero neutrino masses, two Majorana phases would be present.

In the case of only two right-handed neutrinos one has

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sqrt{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{m_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{m_3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

From Eq. (8) we then obtain

$$m_{D_{i1}} = iU_{\nu i2} \sqrt{m_2} (\cos Z) \sqrt{M_1} + iU_{\nu i3} \sqrt{m_3} (-\sin Z) \sqrt{M_1}, \quad (15)$$

$$m_{D_{i2}} = iU_{\nu i2} \sqrt{m_2} (\pm \sin Z) \sqrt{M_2} + iU_{\nu i3} \sqrt{m_3} (\pm \cos Z) \sqrt{M_2}. \quad (16)$$

The zero entry in  $m_D$  implies

$$s_{12}c_{13}e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{m_2} (\pm \sin Z) + s_{13} \sqrt{m_3} (\pm \cos Z) = 0 \quad (17)$$

so that

$$\cos^2 Z = \frac{s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 \rho}{s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 \rho + s_{13}^2 e^{-i\alpha}}, \quad \frac{\sin Z}{\cos Z} = -\frac{s_{13} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{s_{12} c_{13} \sqrt{\rho}}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\rho = m_2/m_3$ . Thus the zero texture in the matrix  $m_D$  allows for a full determination the matrix  $G$ , up to a reflexion, in terms of low energy measurable quantities. From Eq. (9), it is clear that knowledge of  $G$  enables one to obtain the phases appearing in  $m_D^\dagger m_D$  which are the ones relevant for leptogenesis. Therefore, the presence of the texture zero leads to a connection between leptogenesis and low energy measurable quantities.

It is instructive to consider the case of a degenerate  $M_R$ . The expression for  $m_D^\dagger m_D$ , obtained from Eq. (9), becomes particularly simple

$$m_D^\dagger m_D = \mathcal{N} \begin{pmatrix} s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 \rho^2 + s_{13}^2 & \pm \sqrt{\rho} s_{12} c_{13} s_{13} (\rho e^{-i\alpha/2} - e^{i\alpha/2}) \\ \text{h.c.} & s_{13}^2 \rho + s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 \rho \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

with  $\mathcal{N}$  given by

$$\mathcal{N} = M m_3 \frac{1}{(s_{12} c_{13} \sqrt{\rho})^2 \sqrt{|(1 + \lambda^2)|^2}} \quad \text{with } \lambda = \frac{s_{13}}{s_{12} c_{13} \sqrt{\rho}} e^{-i\alpha/2}, \quad (20)$$

where  $M$  denotes the common heavy neutrino mass. This simple example illustrates again the rôle of texture zeros in enabling to establish a connection between leptonic low energy physics and leptogenesis. Of course, exact degeneracy would have to be lifted in order for leptogenesis to be possible. Almost degeneracy among heavy neutrinos leads to the very interesting scenario of resonant leptogenesis [12]. Ibarra and Ross [9] have analysed in detail the predictions from models with one and two texture zeros in  $m_D$  in the case of two right-handed neutrinos, including the constraints on leptogenesis and lepton flavour violating processes. As pointed out in Ref. [9], the case of only one texture zero has the special feature of fixing the matrix  $G$  without imposing any further restriction on light neutrino masses and mixing. This is clear from Eq. (8) which shows that each zero on each column of  $m_D$  corresponds to an orthogonality condition between that column of the matrix  $G$  and the corresponding row of the matrix  $U_\nu \sqrt{d}$ :

$$(m_D)_{ij} = 0: \quad (U_\nu)_{ik} \sqrt{d_{kl}} G_{lj} = 0. \quad (21)$$

With zero in  $m_D$  this equation has always a solution for any  $U_\nu$  and  $\sqrt{d}$  of the form given in Eq. (14), independently of the hierarchy between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Obviously, the rôle of texture zeros is to introduce restrictions which lead to the decrease in the number of independent seesaw parameters. In particular texture zeros lead to a decrease in the number of independent CP-violating phases.

As we have previously emphasized, texture zeros are WB dependent, in the sense that a texture zero present in one basis may no longer exist in another WB. One may wonder whether it is possible to translate particular texture zeros into restrictions on the seesaw parameters expressed in terms of WB invariants. The fact that texture zeros lead in general to a decrease in the number of CP-violating phases, provides a hint that CP-odd WB invariants may be useful for introducing restrictions on the seesaw parameters. In the next section we address this question.

#### 4. On the relation between CP-odd WB invariants and texture zeros

We start by recalling how CP-odd WB invariants can be constructed by studying the CP properties of the present minimal extension of the SM, which leads to the leptonic mass terms of Eq. (1). The starting point consists of writing the most general CP transformation which leaves invariant the gauge interactions. It can be readily seen that the CP transformation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CP} l_L^0 (\text{CP})^\dagger &= U' \gamma^0 C l_L^{0T}, & \text{CP} l_R^0 (\text{CP})^\dagger &= V' \gamma^0 C l_R^{0T}, \\ \text{CP} \nu_L^0 (\text{CP})^\dagger &= U' \gamma^0 C \nu_L^{0T}, & \text{CP} \nu_R^0 (\text{CP})^\dagger &= W' \gamma^0 C \nu_R^{0T}, \\ \text{CP} W_\mu^+ (\text{CP})^\dagger &= -(-1)^{\delta_{0\mu}} W_\mu^-, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $U'$ ,  $V'$ ,  $W'$  are unitary matrices acting in flavour space. The inclusion of these matrices reflects the fact that in a WB, gauge interactions do not distinguish the various flavours. Invariance of the mass terms under the above CP transformation, requires that the following relations have to be satisfied:

$$W'^T M_R W' = -M_R^*, \quad U'^\dagger m_D W' = m_D^*, \quad U'^\dagger m_l V' = m_l^*. \quad (23)$$

From Eqs. (23) one can derive [13] various CP-odd WB invariants, which are constrained to vanish if CP invariance holds, following the procedure first outlined in Ref. [14]. This procedure has been widely applied in Ref. [15] to the study of CP violation in many different scenarios. An example is the following condition:

$$\text{Tr}[m_{\text{eff}} m_{\text{eff}}^\dagger, h_l]^3 = 0, \quad (24)$$

where  $h_l = m_l m_l^\dagger$ . This condition is satisfied in the limit of no CP violation of Dirac type, at low energies.

CP invariance requires the vanishing of certain WB invariants. In the minimal seesaw model which we are considering, with an equal number of left-handed and right-handed neutrinos, in general the number of CP-violating phases equals  $n^2 - n$ , where

$n$  denotes the number of lepton flavours. In the presence of flavour symmetries leading to texture zeros and/or relations among parameters, one may have a smaller number of CP-violating phases and some of the CP-odd WB invariants may automatically vanish.

Next we analyse the possible connection between texture zeros and the vanishing of certain CP-odd invariants, in the cases of two and three right-handed neutrinos.

#### 4.1. Two right-handed neutrinos and two texture zeros

In the case of two right-handed neutrinos all ansätze with two texture zeros in  $m_D$  have been studied in [9]. It can be readily verified that in all two texture zero ansätze the following WB invariant condition is satisfied:

$$I_1 \equiv \text{tr}[m_D M_R^\dagger M_R m_D^\dagger, h_l]^3 = 0. \quad (25)$$

One may ask whether the converse is also true, i.e., whether the imposition of the condition of Eq. (25) on arbitrary complex leptonic mass matrices automatically leads to one of the two zero ansätze classified in [9]. We show that Eq. (25), together with a reasonable assumption of no conspiracy among the parameters of  $m_D$  and those of  $M_R$ , does require the matrix  $m_D$  to have two texture zeros in the WB where both  $M_R$  and  $m_l$  are diagonal real. Note that the hypothesis of “no conspiracy” is quite natural, since  $m_D$  and  $M_R$  originate in different terms of the Lagrangian.

In order to fix the notation let us write

$$m_D M_R^\dagger M_R m_D^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1^* & r_2 & \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_2^* & \alpha_3^* & r_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

where  $r_i$  are real and  $\alpha_i$  are complex elements, which depend on the heavy right-handed neutrino masses and the matrix elements of  $m_D$ . Writing

$$m_D \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

we obtain for the  $\alpha_i$  in Eq. (26)

$$\alpha_1 = M_1^2 a_1 b_1^* + M_2^2 a_2 b_2^*, \quad \alpha_2 = M_1^2 a_1 c_1^* + M_2^2 a_2 c_2^*, \quad \alpha_3 = M_1^2 b_1 c_1^* + M_2^2 b_2 c_2^*. \quad (28)$$

The WB invariant  $I_1$ , calculated in the WB where  $m_l$  is also diagonal, is given by

$$I_1 = 6i(m_\tau^2 - m_\mu^2)(m_\tau^2 - m_e^2)(m_\mu^2 - m_e^2) \text{Im}[\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* \alpha_3]. \quad (29)$$

Clearly,  $I_1 = 0$ , if and only if one of the  $\alpha_i$ 's is equal to zero or else the  $\alpha_i$ 's have cyclic phases in such a way that  $\arg[\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* \alpha_3] = 0, \pi$ . If one adopts the above “no conspiracy” hypothesis, it is clear that the solutions where one of the  $\alpha_i$ 's vanishes, would require that each one of the two zeros contributing to that  $\alpha_i$  should vanish. It can then be readily verified that solutions of Eq. (25) in which one of the  $\alpha_i$ 's vanishes, correspond to textures with one zero in each column.

For example the requirement  $\alpha_1 = 0$  is verified in the case of the following four  $m_D$  textures:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ b_1 & 0 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

All other possible textures with one zero in each column correspond to either  $\alpha_2 = 0$  or  $\alpha_3 = 0$ . We consider now the solutions of Eq. (25) corresponding to cyclic  $\alpha_i$ 's. It can be readily verified that cyclic solutions correspond to textures with two zeros in the same column. Indeed, textures with two zeros in the first column eliminate the terms with  $M_2^2$  whilst terms with two zeros in the second one eliminate the terms with  $M_1^2$ . An example is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha_1 = M_2^2 a_2 b_2^*, \quad \alpha_2 = M_2^2 a_2 c_2^*, \quad \alpha_3 = M_2^2 b_2 c_2^* \quad (31)$$

which obviously leads to  $\arg[\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* \alpha_3] = 0$ .

All the fifteen different textures with two zeros in  $m_D$  are thus obtained from the invariant condition  $I_1 = 0$ , together with the “no-conspiracy” hypothesis. The low energy predictions arising from all these textures were analysed in Ref. [9], where it was shown that only five of them are allowed by present experiment. It was also pointed out in [9] that in general texture zeros in  $m_D$  may appear in a weak basis where neither  $M_R$  nor  $m_l$  are diagonal. Implications for low energy physics in the case of nondiagonal  $M_R$  were also discussed [9], under certain restrictive assumptions on  $m_l$ . At this stage, it is worth noting that there are other CP-odd

WB invariants which vanish for all the two zero textures considered above, even if they arise in a basis where  $M_R$  is not diagonal. An example is the following WB invariant condition:

$$I' \equiv \text{tr}[m_D m_D^\dagger, h_l]^3 = 0 \quad (32)$$

which is verified for any texture with two zeros in  $m_D$  in a WB where  $m_l$  is diagonal, while  $M_R$  is arbitrary.

It should be pointed out that two zeros in  $m_D$ , in the WB where  $M_R$  and  $m_l$  are diagonal, still allow for CP-violation. In fact, with two zeros in  $m_D$  one can only eliminate at most two independent CP-violating phases out of the three present in the general case, with two right-handed neutrinos. As a result, not all CP-odd WB invariants vanish in the case of two texture zeros. An example of a CP-odd invariant [13] which does not vanish is given by

$$I'' \equiv \text{Im tr}[(m_D^\dagger m_D) M_R^* M_R M_R^* (m_D^\dagger m_D)^* M_R]. \quad (33)$$

In the WB where  $M_R$  is diagonal, it can be written as

$$I'' = M_1 M_2 (M_2^2 - M_1^2) \text{Im } h_{12}^2, \quad (34)$$

where

$$h_{12} = (m_D^\dagger m_D)_{12} = a_1^* a_2 + b_1^* b_2 + c_1^* c_2 \quad (35)$$

so that  $\text{Im } h_{12}^2$  can differ from zero in the case of two texture zeros. This invariant is sensitive to the combination  $m_D^\dagger m_D$  which is relevant for leptogenesis. Furthermore, two texture zeros in  $m_D$  also allow for CP violation of Dirac type in the leptonic charged weak currents. This can be seen from the condition written in Eq. (24).

#### 4.2. Three right-handed neutrinos and three texture zeros

Let us now consider the case of three right-handed neutrinos and analyse the conditions under which the invariant  $I_1$  vanishes.

In this case  $m_D$  is a three-by-three matrix which can be written as

$$m_D = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

The parameters  $\alpha_i$  of Eq. (26) are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= M_1^2 a_1 b_1^* + M_2^2 a_2 b_2^* + M_3^2 a_3 b_3^*, & \alpha_2 &= M_1^2 a_1 c_1^* + M_2^2 a_2 c_2^* + M_3^2 a_3 c_3^*, \\ \alpha_3 &= M_1^2 b_1 c_1^* + M_2^2 b_2 c_2^* + M_3^2 b_3 c_3^* \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for  $M_R$  diagonal. Eq. (29) remains valid in the WB where  $m_l$  is also diagonal. There are, as before, two types of possible solutions. Solutions in which one of the  $\alpha_i$ 's is zero (irrespective of  $M_R$ ) are all those corresponding to three zeros in  $m_D$ —one in each column leaving one row without zeros, as for example in

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\alpha_1 = 0), \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\alpha_2 = 0), \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & 0 & b_3 \\ 0 & c_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\alpha_3 = 0). \quad (38)$$

Solutions with three zeros in the same row would lead to one vanishing  $\alpha_i$ , but they are physically unacceptable since they correspond to the decoupling of one generation at low energies.

Any one of the  $m_D$  matrices with three zeros has six real independent parameters and three independent CP-violating phases. Furthermore, we have three Majorana masses  $M_1, M_2, M_3$ . This is to be compared to three light neutrino masses, three mixing angles and three physical CP-violating phases at low energies.

In addition to the solutions in Eq. (38), we obtain a set of cyclic solutions, similar to the case of two right-handed neutrinos in Eq. (31), such that  $\arg[\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* \alpha_3] = 0$  but with  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ . However, these solutions correspond to four texture zeros and therefore will be discussed in the following subsection, which is dedicated to the study of the connection between low and high energy CP violation in the context of models with four texture zeros.

#### 4.3. Three right-handed neutrinos and four texture zeros

Cyclic solutions of Eq. (25), in the case of three right-handed neutrinos, require, for arbitrary phases in  $m_D$ , four zeros in this matrix. In this case one column has no zeros, the other two columns have two zeros each, as for example in

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ b_1 & 0 & b_3 \\ 0 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (39)$$

Cyclic solutions where all zeros are grouped in one square, i.e., one column and one row have no zeros, are physically unacceptable, as they lead to  $U_{i1} = 0$  for some  $i$ .

For cyclic solutions of Eq. (25), thus having four texture zeros, the number of parameters in  $m_D$  is much reduced. One has five real parameters and two complex phases in  $m_D$ . In particular, for the nonsquared cyclic solutions in Eq. (39), one may easily find the connection between leptogenesis and low energy physics. Let us consider as an example, the first matrix in Eq. (39). The  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  in  $m_D$  can be expressed as functions of the neutrino masses, mixing angles and CP-violating phases through Eq. (8). In this example, the matrix  $G$  can be fully determined by Eq. (21) due to the existence of four zeros. With three right-handed neutrinos the matrix  $\sqrt{d}$  is diagonal with nonzero entries  $\sqrt{m_i}$  and we have, e.g.,

$$(m_D)_{12} = 0: \quad (U_\nu)_{1k} \sqrt{m_k} G_{k2} = 0 \quad (40)$$

leading to

$$(\vec{G}_1)_i = (\varepsilon_{ijk} (U_\nu)_{1j} \sqrt{m_j} (U_\nu)_{3k} \sqrt{m_k}) \frac{1}{N_1}, \quad (41)$$

$$(\vec{G}_2)_i = (\varepsilon_{ijk} (U_\nu)_{1j} \sqrt{m_j} (U_\nu)_{2k} \sqrt{m_k}) \frac{1}{N_2}, \quad (42)$$

$$(\vec{G}_3)_i = \varepsilon_{ijk} (\vec{G}_1)_j (\vec{G}_2)_k = \frac{1}{N_3} (U_\nu)_{1i} \sqrt{m_i} \quad (\text{no sum in } i), \quad (43)$$

where the  $\vec{G}_i$  are the columns of the matrix  $G$  and the  $N_i$  are complex normalization factors, with phases such that  $\vec{G}_i^2 = 1$ .

Let us now consider the nonzero entries of  $m_D$ , for example  $b_1$ , which corresponds to

$$(m_D)_{21} = b_1 = i ((U_\nu)_{2k} \sqrt{m_k}) G_{k1} \sqrt{M_1}. \quad (44)$$

Once the  $G_{kj}$  are replaced by the explicit formulas obtained above, the coefficients of  $m_D$  can be fully expressed in terms of physical quantities only, up to nonphysical phases which can be rotated away.

In this example we have

$$-m_{\text{eff}} = m_D \frac{1}{D} m_D^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_3^2 M_3^{-1} & a_3 b_3 M_3^{-1} & a_3 c_3 M_3^{-1} \\ a_3 b_3 M_3^{-1} & b_1^2 M_1^{-1} + b_3^2 M_3^{-1} & b_3 c_3 M_3^{-1} \\ a_3 c_3 M_3^{-1} & b_3 c_3 M_3^{-1} & c_2^2 M_2^{-1} + c_3^2 M_3^{-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

Only five entries in  $m_{\text{eff}}$  are independent. We can relate  $(m_{\text{eff}})_{23}$  to other entries by

$$(m_{\text{eff}})_{11} (m_{\text{eff}})_{23} - (m_{\text{eff}})_{12} (m_{\text{eff}})_{13} = 0. \quad (46)$$

This relation implies low energy constraints and furthermore guarantees the orthogonality of columns one and two of the matrix  $G$ , as defined above. It is clear from the definition of  $m_{\text{eff}}$  that  $G$  does not play any rôle in low energy physics. However in the matrix  $m_D^\dagger m_D$ , which is the matrix relevant for leptogenesis, the elements of  $G$  play an important rôle since they do not cancel out. In this example, there is a strong relation between leptogenesis and low energy physics due to the fact that  $G$  can be fully expressed in terms of measurable low energy parameters. With four texture zeros, there are constraints in the low energy physics which result from the reduction of the independent parameters in  $m_{\text{eff}}$  as expressed in this example by Eq. (46). This relation excludes scenarios with direct or inverse hierarchical light neutrinos, i.e., the case of one neutrino mass much smaller than the other two. Likewise, in the three zero textures of Section 4.2, there are also low energy constraints which in this case translate into the existence of one zero in one of the off-diagonal elements of  $m_{\text{eff}}$  (and its symmetric entry). For instance, for the first matrix in Eq. (38), corresponding to the case  $\alpha_1 = 0$ , one has  $(m_{\text{eff}})_{12} = 0$ , or equivalently

$$m_1 U_{11} U_{21} + m_2 U_{12} U_{22} + m_3 U_{13} U_{23} = 0. \quad (47)$$

In Ref. [16] the stability of zeros in neutrino mass matrices under quantum corrections, in type I seesaw models, has been studied. It was found that some of the two-zero textures for the neutrino mass matrix that have been classified as incompatible with experimental data, are not excluded. A detailed study of the phenomenology of three and four texture zeros in  $m_D$  is beyond the scope of this Letter.

Four texture zeros may also be obtained from the solutions with three texture zeros considered in the previous subsection in which one of the  $\alpha_i$ 's is zero. However, for these cases, the extra zero has to be imposed by demanding that a new invariant  $I_2$  vanishes.<sup>1</sup> Taking

$$I_2 \equiv \text{tr} [M_R^\dagger M_R, m_D^\dagger m_D]^3 \quad (48)$$

<sup>1</sup> With respect to the cyclic solutions, we do not need to consider this invariant, as they automatically obey  $I_2 = 0$ .

and computing  $I_2$  for, e.g., the  $\alpha_1 = 0$  case in Eq. (38), one finds

$$I_2 = 6i(M_3^2 - M_2^2)(M_3^2 - M_1^2)(M_2^2 - M_1^2)|c_3|^2 \text{Im}[b_1^* b_2 c_1 c_2^*]. \quad (49)$$

It is clear that  $I_2 = 0$ , if one of the parameters<sup>2</sup>  $b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$  vanishes. The case  $c_3 = 0$  is of no physical interest as it leads to vanishing solar neutrino mixing, which is clear by computing  $m_{\text{eff}}$ . Taking, e.g.,  $c_1 = 0$ , one then obtains for  $m_D$

$$m_D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (50)$$

which has 4 texture zeros.

It is interesting to note that imposing  $I_2$  equal to zero, irrespective of condition  $I_1 = 0$ , for nondegenerate  $M_i$ , requires:

$$\text{Im}[(m_D^\dagger m_D)_{12}(m_D^\dagger m_D)_{31}(m_D^\dagger m_D)_{23}] = 0, \quad (51)$$

where

$$(m_D^\dagger m_D)_{12} = a_1^* a_2 + b_1^* b_2 + c_1^* c_2, \quad (m_D^\dagger m_D)_{13} = a_1^* a_3 + b_1^* b_3 + c_1^* c_3, \quad (m_D^\dagger m_D)_{23} = a_2^* a_3 + b_2^* b_3 + c_2^* c_3, \quad (52)$$

Matrices  $m_D$ , with three zeros, one on each row leaving one column without zeros, such as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & b_2 & b_3 \\ c_1 & 0 & c_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & a_3 \\ b_1 & 0 & b_3 \\ c_1 & c_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (53)$$

verify this condition. These matrices are the transposed of the solutions found in Section 4.2.

## 5. Conclusions

We have shown that CP-odd WB invariants can be useful in the analysis of lepton-flavour models with texture zeros. In particular, we have pointed out that there is a large class of sets of texture zeros considered in the literature which lead to the vanishing of certain CP-odd invariants. Conversely, it was shown that starting from arbitrary complex leptonic mass matrices, the imposition of the vanishing of certain CP-odd invariants together with a reasonable assumption of no conspiracy among the parameters of  $m_D$  and  $M_R$ , automatically leads to given sets of texture zeros. These WB invariants enable one to recognize models characterized by texture zeros in  $m_D$  in the WB where  $m_l, M_R$  are diagonal, when these same models are written in a different WB where the texture zeros are not manifest.

We have also discussed the rôle of texture zeros in allowing for a connection between leptogenesis and low energy data, such as leptonic masses, mixing and CP violation. We have done the analysis in the context of two, three and four texture zeros. The crucial point is the fact that in the presence of texture zeros, the matrix  $G$  defined in Eq. (8), can be expressed in terms of low energy parameters. Recall that  $G$  enters in  $m_D^\dagger m_D$  which in turn plays a crucial rôle in leptogenesis. Furthermore texture zeros lead in general to specific predictions at low energies.

An important step towards the understanding of the flavour puzzle would be finding a theoretical framework which would naturally lead to the vanishing of the CP-odd invariants considered in this Letter or else to specific texture zeros.

## Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by CERN and by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal), through the projects POCTI/FNU/44409/2002, PDCT/FP/FNU/50250/2003, POCL/FP/63415/2005, POCTI/FP/FNU/50167/2003 and CFTP-FCT UNIT 777, which are partially funded through POCTI (FEDER). The authors are grateful for the warm hospitality of the CERN Physics Department (PH) Theory (TH) where this work started. The work of G.C.B. was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation through a Humboldt Research Award. G.C.B. would like to thank Andrzej J. Buras for the kind hospitality at TUM and M.N.R. thanks Wolfgang Hollik for the warm hospitality at the Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut) and also for support.

## References

- [1] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B 67 (1977) 421;  
 T. Yanagida, in: Proc. of the Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number in the Universe, KEK, March 1979;  
 S.L. Glashow, in: M. Lévy, et al. (Eds.), Quarks and Leptons, Cargèse, Plenum, New York, 1980, p. 707;

<sup>2</sup> Cases where, e.g.,  $\arg[b_1^* b_2 c_1 c_2^*] = 0$ , or with other phase relations amongst the  $a$ 's,  $b$ 's and  $c$ 's will not be studied here.



- M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, R. Slansky, in: *Supergravity*, Stony Brook, September 1979;  
 R.N. Mohapatra, G. Senjanovic, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 44 (1980) 912.
- [2] See for example: A.S. Joshipura, E.A. Paschos, W. Rodejohann, *JHEP* 0108 (2001) 029, hep-ph/0105175;  
 G.C. Branco, T. Morozumi, B.M. Nobre, M.N. Rebelo, *Nucl. Phys. B* 617 (2001) 475, hep-ph/0107164;  
 F. Buccella, D. Falcone, F. Tramontano, *Phys. Lett. B* 524 (2002) 241, hep-ph/0108172;  
 W. Rodejohann, K.R.S. Balaji, *Phys. Rev. D* 65 (2002) 093009, hep-ph/0201052;  
 G.C. Branco, R. González Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, M.N. Rebelo, *Nucl. Phys. B* 640 (2002) 202, hep-ph/0202030;  
 J.R. Ellis, M. Raidal, *Nucl. Phys. B* 643 (2002) 229, hep-ph/0206174;  
 Z.Z. Xing, *Phys. Lett. B* 545 (2002) 352, hep-ph/0206245;  
 S. Davidson, A. Ibarra, *Nucl. Phys. B* 648 (2003) 345, hep-ph/0206304;  
 M.N. Rebelo, *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 013008, hep-ph/0207236;  
 P.H. Frampton, S.L. Glashow, T. Yanagida, *Phys. Lett. B* 548 (2002) 119, hep-ph/0208157;  
 T. Endoh, S. Kaneko, S.K. Kang, T. Morozumi, M. Tanimoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 (2002) 231601, hep-ph/0209020;  
 M. Raidal, A. Strumia, *Phys. Lett. B* 553 (2003) 72, hep-ph/0210021;  
 T. Hambye, hep-ph/0210048;  
 G.C. Branco, R. González Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, I. Masina, M.N. Rebelo, C.A. Savoy, *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 073025, hep-ph/0211001;  
 S.F. King, *Phys. Rev. D* 67 (2003) 113010, hep-ph/0211228;  
 S. Pascoli, S.T. Petcov, W. Rodejohann, *Phys. Rev. D* 68 (2003) 093007, hep-ph/0302054;  
 S. Davidson, *JHEP* 0303 (2003) 037, hep-ph/0302075;  
 W. Buchmuller, P. Di Bari, M. Plumacher, *Nucl. Phys. B* 665 (2003) 445, hep-ph/0302092;  
 E. Nezri, J. Orloff, *JHEP* 0304 (2003) 020, hep-ph/0004227;  
 S. Kaneko, M. Katsumata, M. Tanimoto, *JHEP* 0307 (2003) 025, hep-ph/0305014;  
 E.K. Akhmedov, M. Frigerio, A.Y. Smirnov, *JHEP* 0309 (2003) 021, hep-ph/0305322;  
 A. Broncano, M.B. Gavela, E. Jenkins, *Nucl. Phys. B* 672 (2003) 163, hep-ph/0307058;  
 L. Velasco-Sevilla, *JHEP* 0310 (2003) 035, hep-ph/0307071;  
 B. Dutta, R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. D* 68 (2003) 113008, hep-ph/0307163;  
 G.F. Giudice, A. Notari, M. Raidal, A. Riotto, A. Strumia, *Nucl. Phys. B* 685 (2004) 89, hep-ph/0310123;  
 V. Barger, D.A. Dicus, H.J. He, T.J. Li, *Phys. Lett. B* 583 (2004) 173, hep-ph/0310278;  
 R. González Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, B.M. Nobre, *Phys. Rev. D* 70 (2004) 085009, hep-ph/0311029;  
 W. Rodejohann, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 32 (2004) 235, hep-ph/0311142;  
 W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, *J. Phys. G* 30 (2004) 1073, hep-ph/0311362;  
 A. Ibarra, G.G. Ross, *Phys. Lett. B* 591 (2004) 285, hep-ph/0312138;  
 W. Buchmuller, P. Di Bari, M. Plumacher, *Ann. Phys.* 315 (2005) 305, hep-ph/0401240;  
 W. Buchmuller, P. Di Bari, M. Plumacher, *New J. Phys.* 6 (2004) 105, hep-ph/0406014;  
 R.N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri, *Phys. Rev. D* 71 (2005) 033001, hep-ph/0410369;  
 M.C. Chen, K.T. Mahanthappa, *Phys. Rev. D* 71 (2005) 035001, hep-ph/0411158;  
 N. Sahu, S. Uma Sankar, hep-ph/0501069;  
 K.S. Babu, A. Bachri, H. Aissaoui, hep-ph/0509091;  
 R.N. Mohapatra, et al., hep-ph/0510213.
- [3] M. Fukugita, T. Yanagida, *Phys. Lett. B* 174 (1986) 45.
- [4] B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 7 (1958) 172, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 34 (1957) 247;  
 Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, S. Sakata, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 28 (1962) 870;  
 B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys. JETP* 26 (1968) 984, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 53 (1967) 1717.
- [5] The first example where both the size and sign are related was given by P.H. Frampton, S.L. Glashow, T. Yanagida in [2].
- [6] J.A. Casas, A. Ibarra, *Nucl. Phys. B* 618 (2001) 171, hep-ph/0103065.
- [7] J. Liu, G. Segre, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 4609, hep-ph/9304241;  
 M. Flanz, E.A. Paschos, U. Sarkar, *Phys. Lett. B* 345 (1995) 248;  
 M. Flanz, E.A. Paschos, U. Sarkar, *Phys. Lett. B* 382 (1995) 447, Erratum;  
 L. Covi, E. Roulet, F. Vissani, *Phys. Lett. B* 384 (1996) 169;  
 A. Pilaftsis, *Phys. Rev. D* 56 (1997) 5431, hep-ph/9707235;  
 W. Buchmüller, M. Plümacher, *Phys. Lett. B* 431 (1998) 354, hep-ph/9710460.
- [8] See M.N. Rebelo in [2];  
 M.N. Rebelo, Invited talk at (BEYOND 03), Castle Ringberg, Tegernsee, Germany, 9–14 June 2003, hep-ph/0311226.
- [9] See A. Ibarra, G.G. Ross, in [2].
- [10] This is the particular texture chosen by R. González Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, B.M. Nobre, in the work cited in [2].
- [11] Particle Data Group Collaboration, S. Eidelman, et al., *Phys. Lett. B* 592 (2004) 1.
- [12] A. Pilaftsis, *Nucl. Phys. B* 504 (1997) 61, hep-ph/9702393;  
 J.R. Ellis, M. Raidal, T. Yanagida, *Phys. Lett. B* 546 (2002) 228, hep-ph/0206300;  
 A. Pilaftsis, T.E.J. Underwood, *Nucl. Phys. B* 692 (2004) 303, hep-ph/0309342;  
 R. González Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, B.M. Nobre in [2];  
 T. Hambye, Y. Lin, A. Notari, M. Papucci, A. Strumia, *Nucl. Phys. B* 695 (2004) 169, hep-ph/0312203;  
 K. Turzyski, *Phys. Lett. B* 589 (2004) 135, hep-ph/0401219;  
 T. Hambye, J. March-Russell, S.M. West, *JHEP* 0407 (2004) 070, hep-ph/0403183;  
 G.C. Branco, R. González Felipe, F.R. Joaquim, B.M. Nobre, hep-ph/0507092.
- [13] See A. Pilaftsis in [7];  
 G.C. Branco, T. Morozumi, B.M. Nobre, M.N. Rebelo in [2].
- [14] J. Bernabeu, G.C. Branco, M. Gronau, *Phys. Lett. B* 169 (1986) 243.
- [15] G.C. Branco, M.N. Rebelo, *Phys. Lett. B* 173 (1986) 313;

- M. Gronau, A. Kfir, R. Loewy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 1538;  
G.C. Branco, L. Lavoura, M.N. Rebelo, Phys. Lett. B 180 (1986) 264;  
G.C. Branco, V.A. Kostelecky, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 2075;  
G.C. Branco, M.N. Rebelo, J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 225 (1989) 385;  
L. Lavoura, J.P. Silva, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4619, hep-ph/9404276;  
F.J. Botella, J.P. Silva, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3870, hep-ph/9411288;  
F. del Aguila, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, Phys. Lett. B 386 (1996) 241, hep-ph/9605418;  
F. del Aguila, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, M. Zralek, Comput. Phys. Commun. 100 (1997) 231, hep-ph/9607311;  
J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra, J. Phys. G 24 (1998) L31, hep-ph/9703461;  
O. Lebedev, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 015013, hep-ph/0209023;  
S. Davidson, R. Kitano, JHEP 0403 (2004) 020, hep-ph/0312007;  
F.J. Botella, M. Nebot, O. Vives, hep-ph/0407349;  
G.C. Branco, M.N. Rebelo, J.I. Silva-Marcos, Phys. Lett. B 614 (2005) 187, hep-ph/0502118;  
S. Davidson, H.E. Haber, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 035004, hep-ph/0504050;  
J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, hep-ph/0506227;  
P.F. Harrison, W.G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B 628 (2005) 93, hep-ph/0508012.
- [16] C. Hagedorn, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, Phys. Lett. B 597 (2004) 63, hep-ph/0406103;  
S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, M. Ratz, M.A. Schmidt, JHEP 0503 (2005) 024, hep-ph/0501272.